

MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF SUGARCANE (SACCHARUM OFFICINARUM L.) GENOTYPES IN RELATION TO SALT TOLERANCE

N. R. MARKAD, A. A. KALE, B. D. PAWAR*, A. S. JADHAV AND S. C. PATIL State Level Biotechnology Centre, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri - 413 722, Maharashtra, INDIA e-mail: bhau.raje@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

KEYWORDS ISSR Salinity tolerance Sugarcane Clustering

Received on : 04.11.2014

Accepted on : 28.12.2014

*Corresponding author

INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum L.) is a clonally propagated C_4 grass belongs to genus Saccharum of the family Poaceae with complex polyploidy genome. Sugarcane is of great importance in tropical agriculture as a source of sugar and bioethanol. Abiotic stresses such as drought and high salinity adversely affect the growth and productivity of crop plants. Substantial (about 5%) land under sugarcane cultivation (20 million hectares) is saline (Patade *et al.*, 2009). The development of stress-tolerant crops will be greatly advantageous for modern agriculture in areas that are prone to such stresses. This necessitates identification of salt tolerant parents and cross combinations that yielded higher proportion of tolerant genotypes.

Sugarcane-breeding programs take at least 12 years to develop new commercial cultivars. Marker-assisted selection can speed up breeding programs in sugarcane (Pastina *et al.*, 2012).Attempts have been made to identify salt tolerant sugarcane genotypes from available genetic resources using a multitude of biochemical, physiological and morphological indices (Saxena *et al.*, 2010, Chaum *et al.*, 2012). However, most of genotypes identified as salt tolerant were based on field trials, which are affected by many environmental factors (Chaum *et al.*, 2012).

DNA-based markers are robust, speedy; information may be obtained from little amounts of plant material at any stage of development and it is not affected by environmental

The efficiency of ISSR marker for cultivar identification was found 6.8 % as only eight fragments are cultivar specific.PIC values were ranged from 0.47 (ISSR 830) to 0.88 (ISSR 816) primers with an average value of 0.70. Rp values were ranged from 2.40 (ISSR 823) to 11.86 (ISSR 809) primers with an average value of 6.18. The similarity coefficients detected by ISSR ranged from 0.65 to 0.84 which revealed existence of limited genetic variation among sugarcane genotypes. In the consensus tree thesalt-tolerant genotypes cluster together indicating the efficiency of ISSR markers to differentiate between tolerant and susceptible genotypes based on their similarity matrix.Our study has established that the ISSR markers are useful for studying genetic diversity among sugarcane and thereby helps in selecting superior crosses and for distribution genotypes into different groups.

This study was conducted to characterize the salt tolerant and susceptible genotypes (F1s) of sugarcane by 15 ISSR

primers. The amplification profile consisted of 118 fragments of size ranging from 275 bp to 2845 bp of which

28 were monomorphic and 82 were polymorphic with 69.49 % polymorphism. The number of bands generated

by each primer varied from 4 (ISSR -823) to 11 (ISSR-809, ISSR-824 and ISSR-830) with an average of 8.4 fragments per primer. The percentage of polymorphic bands with different primers ranged from 50 to 88.88 %.

conditions. Information regarding genetic diversity and genetic relationships among different genotypes is very valuable in crop improvement. Analysis of genetic diversity is useful in selecting diverse parental combinations, reliable classification of accessions, and for exact identification of variety (Zala et *al.*, 2014; Bahurupe et *al.*, 2013; Salunke et *al.*, 2012). Keeping in view all these factors objective of the present study was to investigate genetic diversity for salinity tolerance in salt tolerant varieties, salt tolerant F1^s and salt susceptible F1^s using ISSR primers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material and genomic DNA isolation

Fifteen sugarcane genotypes (Three salt tolerant varieties, five salt tolerant sugarcane F_1 s and seven salt susceptible sugarcane F_1 s) available at Central Sugarcane Research Station, Padegaon were used as experimental material (Table 1). Total genomic DNA was extracted from three month young leaf tissue using a CTAB method (Aljanabi *et al.*, 1999).

ISSR analysis

ISSR analysis was performed using 15 ISSR primers (Table 2). Amplification reactions contained 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0), 0.01% (v/v) gelatin, 200 μ M of each dNTPs, 0.200 μ M ISSR primer, 60 ng template DNA, and 1 unit *Taq* DNA polymerase in a reaction volume of 25 μ l. The amplification reaction was carried out in an Eppendorf Master Cycler Gradient thermal cycler. The reaction included an initial denaturation step of 5 min at 95°C, and then amplification reactions were cycled 45 times at 94°C for 1 min, 45°C- 55°C (depending on the primer) for 1 min and 72°C for 2 min. A final amplification was allowed for 10 min at72°C.

Upon completion of the amplification, amplification products were then resolved in 1.2% agarose gel. Gel was stained with ethidium-bromide and visualized under UV-transilluminator in gel documentation system (Flour ChemTMAlpha innotech, USA). Size of the amplicons was estimated with 1 kb DNA ladder which was resolved along with amplified product. Reproducibility of the results was confirmed by repeating the amplification twice.

The clearly resolved PCR amplified ISSR bands with 15 different primers were scored manually for their presence (1) and absence (0) in the binary data sheet. Data was analyzed and similarity matrix was constructed from binary data with dice similarity coefficients which was calculated as per model suggested by Nei and Li (1979). Unweighted Pair Group Method Using Arithmetic Averages (UPGMA) was employed for cluster analysis using the computer package NTSYSpc 2.02i (Rohalf, 1998). The polymorphism information content (PIC) values, resolving power (Rp) values were calculated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sugarcane productivity is worldwide subjected to increasing environmental constraints, predominantly to drought and salinity. Sugarcane is a glycophyte with moderate sensitivity to salinity stress and confined to tropical and sub-tropical irrigated regions, where salinity is an ever-increasing problem. High salt levels in the soil adversely affect germination, growth rate, cane yield as well as sucrose content in cane (Patade et al., 2009). Salinity is one of the most serious threats to crop production. Sugarcane has been categorized as a glycophyte (salt susceptible); because it exhibits toxic symptoms including low sprout emergence, nutritional imbalance and growth reduction, leading to low productivity, especially sugar content when cultivated in the salt-affected soils (Chaum et al., 2012). The severe sensitivity of sugarcane to salinity at various growth stages is manifested by a considerable reduction in growth rate (Plaut et al., 2000).

The sugarcane breeding process involves crossing superior varieties and selection among the F, progeny individuals with favorable allelic combinations. But the chromosomal

architecture of the sugarcane hybrid makes each cross a unique, unpredictable event. This architecture, combined with the multiplicity of alleles at each locus, makes the breeding process immensely complicated (Aruda 2012). Therefore thousands of F, seedlings have to screen for salinity tolerance or any other character. One or two clones, out of thousands of the initial F1 seedlings, are released as commercial varieties (Matsuoka et al., 2009). Sugarcane being a long duration crop, identification of suitable adaptive mechanism at early growth stage of crop could help in early screening of genotypes for salt tolerance (Satbhai and Naik 2014).

Identification of molecular markers linked to salinity tolerance will provide plant breeders a new tool for selecting cultivars with improved salt-tolerance (Saxsena et al., 2010). Molecular markers allow breeders to track the genetic loci controlling salt resistance without measuring the phenotype, thus reducing time required and extensive field testing. Several types of PCRbased markers are used for assessing the genetic diversity among sugarcane varieties and hybrids which include random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (Govindarai et al., 2011). restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP)(Pastina et al., 2012), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) (Selvi et al., 2005), simple sequence repeat (SSR) (Devarumath et al., 2012), ISSR (Devarumath et al., 2012), sequence tag micro satellite (STMS) (Singh et al., 2005), target region amplified polymorphism (TRAP) (Suman et al., 2012), sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR) (Srivastava et al., 2012), RFLP and SSR markers derived from expressed sequence tag (EST-RFLP and EST-SSR) (Pastina et al., 2012). The development of molecular markers for screening salt tolerant lines has been attempted in several crop plants but there are no reports of differentiating salt tolerant sugarcane genotype using ISSR markers.

In the current study molecular characterization of fifteen sugarcane genotypes (Three salt tolerant varieties, five salt tolerant sugarcane F₁s and seven salt susceptible sugarcane F,s) was carried out using 15 ISSR primers in order to assess genetic diversity. Out of which 14 were polymorphic, and 1 primer was did not amplify. A representative amplification profile obtained by using random primer ISSR 807 was depicted in fig 1. The number of bands amplified with each ISSR primer along with their details is given in Table 2.

The size of the amplified DNA fragments was ranged from 275 bp to 2845 bp. A total of 82 polymorphic amplicons were observed out of a 118 amplicons generated by the 14 ISSR with 82 % polymorphism. Primers vary in their

Salt tolerant varieties							
3.	CoM 0265						
Salt tolerant F ₁ s							
4.	Co 85002 x CoT 8201	5.	Co 8371 x Co 86002				
6.	Co 86002 x Co 1148	7.	Co 7201 x CoC 671				
8.	Co 85021 x Co 97015						
Salt susceptible F ₁ s							
9.	Co 8371 x Co78201	10.	Co 95021 x Co97015				
11.	Co 8371 x Co 86249	12.	ISH100 x Co 94008				
13.	Co 740 x Co 775	14.	Co 8213 x CoT 8201				
15.	Co 7201 x Bo 91						

Sr. No.	ISSR primer	Polymorphic loci	Monomorphic loci	Unique loci	% polymorphism	PIC value	Rp value	Size of loci (kb)
1	807	5	1	2	62.50	0.81	5.20	0.51 to 1.93
2	809	8	3	0	72.72	0.54	11.86	0.27 to 1.57
3	810	4	3	0	57.14	0.70	3.60	0.59 to 1.97
4	811	8	0	1	88.88	0.78	6.66	0.48 to 2.60
4	812	3	3	0	50.00	0.77	2.66	0.56 to 1.64
6	816	3	2	1	50.00	0.88	2.40	0.89 to2.72
7	817	4	2	1	57.14	0.59	5.33	0.64 to 2.30
8	818	11	2	0	84.61	0.81	8.40	0.43 to 2.84
9	823	2	2	0	50.00	0.64	2.40	0.28 to 0.93
10	824	6	4	1	54.54	0.61	7.86	0.42 to 1.65
11	830	8	3	0	72.72	0.47	11.2	0.71 to 2.04
12	834	7	2	0	77.77	0.64	7.886	0.45 to 1.88
13	842	8	1	0	88.88	0.79	6.8	0.58 to 1.93
14	853	4	1	2	57.14	0.83	4.3	1.03 to 2.59
Total	82	28	8					
Average		5.86	2	0.6	69.49	0.70	6.18	0.275 to 2.85

Table 2: Molecular polymorphism, PIC values, Rp values and size of loci revealed by ISSR primers in 12 sugarcane F,s and 3 varieties.



Figure 1: ISSR 807 amplification profile of fifteen sugarcane genotypes. M = marker (200 to 3000 bp), Lane 1 Co 86032, 2- CoM 0265, 3- Co 62175, 4- Co 85002 x CoT 8201, 5- Co 8371 x Co 86002, 6- Co 86002 x Co 1148, 7- Co 7201 x CoC 671, 8- Co 85021 x Co 97015, 9-Co 8371 x Co78201, 10- ISH100 x Co 94008, 11- Co 8371 x Co 86249, 12-Co 95021 x Co97015, 13- Co 740 x Co 775, 14- Co 7201 x Bo 91, 15-Co 8213 x CoT 8201.

polymorphism content with the percent polymorphism varied between 50.00 % (ISSR-812, ISSR-816 and ISSR-823) to 88.88 % (ISSR-811, ISSR-842). The average number of polymorphic bands per primer was 5.86. Earlier different levels of polymorphic bands generated with ISSR markers in sugarcane were reported by Shrivastava *et al.* (2012) 79 %;Kalwade *et al.* (2012) 84%. These findings clearly demonstrate the reliability of ISSR in analyzing genomic diversity in sugarcane.

PIC value of a marker provides an estimate of the discriminating power of the marker. In the present investigationPIC values were ranged from 0.47 (ISSR 830) to 0.88 (ISSR 816) primers with an average value of 0.70. Rp values were ranged from 2.40 (ISSR 823) to 11.86 (ISSR 809) primers with an average value of 6.18. Devarumath *et al.* (2012) reported PIC value ranged from 0.11 to 0.36 with an average of 0.28 and Kalwade *et al.* (2012) reported PIC value ranged from 0.19 to 0.45 with an average of 0.27.

In the present investigation the number of bands generated by





each primer varied from 4 (ISSR-823) to 13 (ISSR-818) with an average of 8.4 fragments per primer. Previously Shrivastava et *al.* (2012) and Devarumath *et al.* (2012) reported an average of 7.9 and 74.8 bands, respectively.

In the present investigation the similarity coefficients range from 0.65-0.84 with an average of 0.76 which revealed existence of limited genetic variation among 15 sugarcane genotypes. Lowest similarly coefficient of 0.65 was present between genotypes Co 62175 and Co 85021 x Co 97015, while maximum similarly coefficient 0.84 was observed between genotypes Co 86002 x Co 1148 and Co 85021 x Co 97015.The limited genetic diversity among sugarcane varieties based on ISSR markers had been previously reported (Devarumath *et al.*, 2012). A close genetic relationship between parental populations is a common problem in salt tolerance breeding programs. Improving salt tolerance can be achieved by identification of the genetic distances among parental genotypes based on ISSR markers before crossing.

The genetic relationships among sugarcane cultivars and F₁S are presented in a dendrogram based on informative ISSR alleles (Fig. 2). Differential clustering in relation to salt tolerance

was observed. Among the varieties, salt tolerant sugarcane variety Co 62175 was found to be totally distinct and divergent from rest of the varieties. In the consensus tree constructed Co 62175 formed the independent cluster (Group no. 8). Remaining genotypes were divided into two clusters which were joined at 67 % level of similarity (fig 2). The first major cluster comprises sugarcane salt tolerant groups, first group consists of salt tolerant sugarcane varieties Co 86032 and CoM 265 and second group consist of salt tolerant F.s viz., Co 85002 x CoT 8201 and Co 8371 x Co86002. The second sub cluster of major cluster first consists of five groups. Third group consists of two salt tolerant sugarcane F, viz., Co 86002 x Co 1148 and Co 85021x Co 97015. Fourth group consists of four salt susceptible sugarcane F, viz., Co 8371 x Co 86249, ISH100 x Co94008, Co 8371 x Co 78201 and Co 95021 x Co 97015. Salt tolerant sugarcane F, viz., Co 7201 x CoC 671 formed independent fifth group. Sixth and seventh group consists of 3 salt susceptible sugarcane F, viz. Co 740 x Co 775, Co 7201 x Bo 91 and Co 8213 x CoT 8201. Cluster analysis revealed that salt susceptible sugarcane genotypes were clustered together in group number four, six and seven. Salt tolerant sugarcane genotypes exhibited more genetic diversity compared to salt susceptible sugarcane genotypes

In the present investigation we obtained 6.8% unique varietal specific bands using ISSR markers. Out of the 118 bands, only 8 fragments were specific for one cultivar. In salt tolerant F_1 Co 8371 x Co86002 specific three unique fragments were observed i.e. ISSR807-821 bp, ISSR853-2591 bp and ISSR 853-1177 bp. While ISSR 811, ISSR 807, ISSR 824, ISSR 817 and ISSR 816 primers showed unique fragment in genotype Co 86032 (2604 bp), CoM 0265 (756 bp),CO 62175 (1031 bp), Co 85021x Co 97015(2303 bp)andCo 8371 x Co 86249 (1863 bp) respectively. These unique DNA fragments seem to be useful to discriminate among cultivars and may be used to construct the s cultivar specific primers and further screening of genotypes.

ISSR patterns separated all the genotypes from each other and confirm the variability at molecular level. The consensus tree constructed showed three major clusters revealing differential clustering in relation to salt tolerance was observed. The results in the present investigation demonstrated the narrow genetic base among sugarcane cultivars and F₁S. It is recommended that genetically distinct parents should be used to enrich the existing narrow genetic base.

REFERENCES

Aljanabi, S. M., Forget, L. and Dookun, A. 1999. An improved and rapid protocol for the isolation of polysaccharide- and polyphenol-free sugarcane DNA. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* **17**: 1-8.

Arruda, P. 2012. Genetically modified sugarcane for bioenergy generation. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 23(3): 315-322.

Bahurupe, J. V., Sakhare, S. B., Kulwal, P. L., Akhare, A. A. and Pawar, B. D. 2013. Genetic diversity analysis of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) using RAPD markers. *The Bioscan.* 8(3): 915-918.

Chaumm, S., Chuencharoenm, S., Mongkolsiriwatanam, C. M. Ashrafm and Kirdmanee, C. 2012. Screening sugarcane (*Saccharum* sp.) genotypes for salt tolerance using multivariate cluster analysis.

Plant Cell Tiss. Org. Cult. 110(1): 23-33.

Devarumathm, R. M., Kalwadem, S. B., Kawarm, P. G. and Sushir, K. V. 2012. Assessment of genetic diversity in sugarcane germplasm using ISSR and SSR markers. *Sugar Tech.* **14(4)**: 334-344.

Govindaraj, P., Sindhu, R., Balamurugan, A. and Appunu, C. 2011. Molecular diversity in sugarcane hybrids (*Saccharum spp.* complex) grown in peninsular and east coast zones of tropical India. *Sugar Tech.***13(3):** 206-213.

Kalwade, S. B., Devarumath, R. M., Kawar, P. G. and Sushir, K. V. 2012. Genetic profiling of sugarcane genotypes using inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers. *E. J. Plant Breed.* 3(1): 621-628.

Matsuoka, S., Ferro, J. and Arruda, P. 2009. The Brazilian experience of sugarcane ethanol industry. *In Vitro Cell. Dev. Biol. Plant.* **45:** 372-381.

Nei, M. and Li, W. H. 1979. Mathematical model for studying the genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 76: 5268-5273.

Pastina, M. M., Malosetti, M., Gazaffi, R., Mollinari, M., Margarido, G. R. A., Oliveira, K. M., Pinto, L. R., Souza, A. P., Van Eeuwijk, F. A. and Garcia, A. A. F. 2012. A mixed model QTL analysis for sugarcane multiple-harvest-location trial data. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 24(5): 835-849.

Patade, V. Y., Bhargava, S. and Suprasanna, P. 2009. Halopriming imparts tolerance to salt and PEG induced drought stress in sugarcane. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **134(1):** 24-28.

Plaut, Z., Meinzer, F., Federman, E. 2000. Leaf development, transpiration and ion uptake and distribution in sugarcane cultivars grown under salinity. *Plant Soil.* **218:** 59-69.

Rohlf, F. J. 1998. NTSYSpc. Numerical taxonomy and multivariate analyses, version 2.02i. Exeter Software, New York, N. Y.

Salunke, D. S., Jadhav, A. S., Pawar, B. D., Kale, A. A. and Chimote, V. P. 2012. Diversity analysis of tomato genotypes using RAPD markers and high-performance liquid chromatography in relation to â - carotene content. *Vegetos.* 25(2): 95-101.

Satbhai, R. D. and Naik, R. M. 2014. Osmolytes accumulation, cell membrane integrity, and antioxidant enzymes in sugarcane varieties differing in salinity tolerance. *Sugar Tech.* **16(1):** 30-35.

Saxena, P., Srivastava, R. P. and Sharma, M. L. 2010. Studies on salinity stress tolerance in sugarcane varieties. *Sugar Tech.* **12(1):** 59-63.

Selvi, A., Nair, N. V., Noyer, J. L., Singh, N. K., Balasundaram, N., Bansal, K. C., Koundal, K. R. and Mohapatra, T. 2005. Genomic constitution and genetic relationship among the tropical and sub tropical Indian sugarcane cultivars revealed by AFLP. *Crop Sci.* **45**: 1750-1757.

Singh, R. K., Singh, P., Misra, P., Singh, S. P. and Singh, S. B. 2005. STMS marker for tagging high sugar genes in sugarcane. *Sugar Tech.* 7: 74-76.

Srivastava, M. K., Li, C. N. and Li, Y. R. 2012. Development of sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR) marker for identifying drought tolerant sugarcane genotypes. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* 6(4): 763-767.

Suman, A., Ali, K., Arro, J., Parco, A. S., Kimbeng, C. A. and Baisakh, N. 2012. Molecular diversity among members of the *Saccharum* complex assessed using TRAP markers based on lignin-related genes. *Bio. Energy Res.* 5(1): 197-205.

Zala, H., Bosamia, T., Kulkarni, K. and Shukla, Y. 2014. Assessment of molecular diversity in wheat (*Triticum aestivuml.* and *Triticum duruml.*) genotypes cultivated in semi-arid region of Gujarat. *The Bioscan.* 9(2): 731-737.